

# Eye morphology and colour preferences in a semi-field test of the pine pest, *Sirex noctilio* (Hymenoptera: Siricidae)

Quentin Guignard<sup>1\*</sup>, Johannes Spaethe<sup>2</sup>, Bernard Slippers<sup>3</sup>, Natasa Nikolic<sup>2</sup> and Jeremy D Allison<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Zoology and Entomology, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa <sup>2</sup>Department of Behavioural Physiology and Sociobiology, Biozentrum, University of Würzburg, Am Hubland, Würzburg, Germany <sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Genetics and Microbiology, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa <sup>4</sup>Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Great Lakes Forestry Centre, Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario, Canada

The woodwasp, *Sirex noctilio*, is a global pest of pines. Although it is known to be attracted to light and possess sexually dimorphic body colouration, the visual ecology of *S. noctilio* is poorly understood. Photoreceptor sensitivity of the compound eyes in *S. noctilio* is not sexually dimorphic. These previous results suggest that colour tracking of one sex by the other might not be an important input for mate searching. This study aimed to expand our knowledge of the visual system of *S. noctilio* by means of i) morphological description of the compound eyes and ocelli; and ii) semi-field behavioural tests of the colour preference of newly emerged wasps. Eye and ocellus morphological features were investigated in 21 males and 21 females. Measurement of male and female median ocellus diameter, compound eye surface area, number of ommatidia and facet diameter varied from 0.22 to 0.40 mm, 0.589 to 2.277 mm<sup>2</sup>, 1820 to 4207 and 1.88 × 10<sup>-2</sup> to 2.82 × 10<sup>-2</sup> mm, respectively. In addition, all traits significantly correlated with body size. Male and female wasps emerged from infested host material in a flight cage with five traps, each reflecting a different colour. Analysis of trap captures did not identify any colour preference, but an effect of trap location was observed with traps in the northeastern position capturing more woodwasps, suggesting that other factors, e.g., global landmarks or other non-colour visual cues might guide initial flight behaviour of *S. noctilio*.

# INTRODUCTION

The woodwasp, *Sirex noctilio* (Hymenoptera: Siricidae), is a global pest of pines. It is native to Eurasia and has been accidentally introduced in the southern hemisphere (Miller & Clark 1935; Gilbert & Miller 1952; Rebuffo et al. 1990; Tribe 1995) and recently in North America (Hoebeke et al. 2005) and China (Li et al. 2015). Females use their ovipositor to drill a hole in the bark of pine trees and lay eggs, with a phytotoxic mucus and the symbiotic fungus, *Amylostereum areolatum* (Talbot 1977). The combination of these three elements can result in tree mortality when multiple attacks occur (Coutts 1969;Bordeaux & Dean 2012). Control programmes based on silvicultural practices, surveillance with baited traps, and biological control sometimes provide unsatisfactory results and losses still occur (Hurley et al. 2007, 2015; Dodds & de Groot 2012; Slippers et al. 2012; Dodds et al. 2014).

Adults of *S. noctilio* are diurnal, do not feed, and only live for a few days (Neumann & Minko 1981). During this short time, there is strong selective pressure on the adults to find mates and oviposition sites. In insects, sexual dimorphism in olfactory and/or visual traits (e.g. pheromones, body shape and colouration) are commonly associated with mate location and attraction. A putative male pheromone released from the sexually dimorphic hind legs has been identified in *S. noctilio* (Cooperband et al. 2012; Guignard et al. 2020). However, the addition of the synthetic male pheromone to traps baited with a commercial pine kairomone did not increase the number of insects captured in the field (Hurley et al. 2015).

The biology of *S. noctilio* suggests that colour vision could play an important role in reproduction, and thus, knowledge of the stimuli involved could be exploited to increase trapping efficiency. Various species of Symphyta show colour preferences in choice experiments, where yellow traps are generally more attractive than other colours (reviewed in Guignard et al. 2022a, see also Anderbrant et al. 1989, Barker et al. 1997, Holuša & Drápela 2006, Taniwaki 2013, Song et al. 2015, Vétek et al. 2016). Male and female *S. noctilio* are sexually dimorphic, females are all black whereas males are black with an orange abdomen. Before mating, males form leks in the forest canopy, and these leks may be an attractive visual stimulus to females. For example, simulated leks in a field experiment increased the number of females captured when no host volatiles were present (Allison et al. 2019). A vertical flight distribution experiment in Patagonia demonstrated that males flew higher than females, but when males are present females flew higher than when males were not present (Martínez et al. 2014). Flight experiments in a large wind-tunnel demonstrated that traps baited with ultraviolet (UV) lights capture significantly more *S. noctilio* than traps without UV lights or baited only with a commercial pine kairomone (Sarvary et al. 2015). However, to date no studies have tested for colour preferences in *S. noctilio*.

Recently, it was speculated that colour vision might be less developed in *S. noctilio* than in other hymenopterans (Guignard et al. 2021). The ability to discriminate between colours depends on the

**CORRESPONDENCE** Quentin Guignard

EMAIL quentin.guignard@fabi.up.ac.za

DATES Received: 9 March 2022 Accepted: 26 July 2022

#### **KEYWORDS**

monitoring surveillance Symphyta visual ecology

## COPYRIGHT

© The Author(s) Published under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence (CC BY 4.0)

number of photoreceptor types in the compound eyes, differing in their spectral sensitivity. In hymenopterans, like in most other insects, spectral sensitivity is mainly determined by the opsins expressed in each photoreceptor. Three common groups of opsins exist in insects: long, short and UV opsins, named after the wavelengths of light for which they have maximum sensitivity (Briscoe & Chittka 2001; Feuda et al. 2016; van der Kooi et al. 2021). The vast majority of Apocrita have been shown to express one copy of the long, short and UV opsin in their compound eyes coding for green, blue and UV wavelengthsensitive photoreceptors, respectively (Peitsch et al. 1992; van der Kooi et al. 2021; Guignard et al. 2022b). In contrast to most Apocrita, the short opsin is missing in the genome of S. noctilio, and the corresponding blue wavelength-sensitive photoreceptor was not found in electroretinogram experiments (Guignard et al. 2021). This suggests that S. noctilio might be less sensitive to different colours than most Hymenoptera, indicating that colour vision may have a limited role in the ecology of this insect.

The goal of the study was to provide detailed information on the visual system and visual ecology of *S. noctilio*. Specifically, we aimed to i) characterise morphological traits of the compound eyes and ocelli and ii) test whether trap colour had an effect on *S. noctilio* captures in an outdoor cage experiment.

#### **MATERIALS and METHODS**

## Insects

The collection and rearing protocol of the *S. noctilio* wasps used in this experiment are identical to those described in Guignard et al. (2020). In brief, in September 2018 pine logs naturally infested with *S. noctilio* were collected from Knysna, South Africa. Logs were transported to and stored in an insectarium at 20 °C with ambient relative humidity and a photoperiod of 12 hours from late October until the following January. Wasps used for morphological measurement were caught after eclosion, and individually stored in a refrigerator at 12 °C.

#### Morphological measurements

Morphological measurements of the compound eyes and ocelli were made on a total of 21 males and 21 females. The intertegular span (ITS) was used as a proxy of body size (Cane 1987; Streinzer & Spaethe 2014). Four morphological variables were measured for all individuals: diameter of the median ocellus (mm), eye surface area (mm<sup>2</sup>), number of ommatidia and facet diameter (mm); as described in Streinzer and Spaethe (2014). In brief, ITS and ocelli were measured from digital photographs, taken with a stereomicroscope (Leica EZ4D with integrated camera, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Ommatidia number, facet lens diameter and eye surface area were measured from eye replicas made of nail polish (Forrest 1962; Streinzer & Spaethe 2014). Ommatidia numbers were determined by manually marking all facets of digital photographs of the eye replica in Fiji (Schindelin et al. 2012), which were taken by a Canon 6D Mark II (Canon, Tokyo, Japan) attached to a Leitz Orthoplan (Leica Microsystems). To measure facet lens diameter, we measured a row of ten ommatidia in all three axes in the centre of the compound eye and calculated the mean facet lens diameter (Streinzer & Spaethe 2014). Eye surface area was determined by tracing the outline of the eye replica in Fiji.

## **Behavioural tests**

Ten black panel traps were painted with one of 5 different colours (i.e., two of each colour). Traps were first painted with two layers of undercoat and then with two layers of the test colour (black: RAL 9005, blue: RAL 5015, red: RAL 3020, yellow: RAL 1018, green: RAL 6037; Figure 2A) on the entire trap and bucket. A second set of similarly painted 5 panel traps were

used for reflectance measurements. All measurements were performed following standard protocols (e.g. Chittka & Kevan 2005). We used a JAZ spectrometer unit equipped with a pulsed Xenon light source (Ocean Optics, Dunedin, FL, U.S.A). The spectrometer was calibrated against a white standard (WS-1-SL, Ocean Optics).

Fourteen infested logs from George (South Africa) were stacked by alternating parallel pairs oriented perpendicular to each other to make a square log deck pile 1.6 m high, in two different walk-in-cages 20 m apart. In each cage, five panel traps, one of each colour, were placed at a distance of 1.6 m from the centre of the log pile with the bottom of the collecting cup 60 cm above the ground. The first trap was placed directly south of the log pile (= 180°) and the rest of the traps were placed every 72 degrees clockwise. In both cages, trap colours were randomly placed and then moved daily so that each colour was placed at each position for an equal amount of time. Traps were checked daily, the collection cups emptied and the number of *S. noctilio* were recorded for 7 weeks. Due to low emergence numbers, insects caught in the two cages were pooled together for statistical analyses.

## Statistical analyses

The ITS between male and female was compared using a Welch *t*-test. Linear regression analyses of the relationship between ITS and the four morphological variables measured for both sexes were computed after verification of normality for each variable ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ). All models were validated after graphical verification of the normality of residuals and homoscedasticity. The percentage of insects captured per week was not normally distributed and a Kruskal-Wallis test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) was used to determine if there were position or treatment effects followed by a pairwise Wilcoxon test (Holm correction) post hoc analysis. All statistical analyses were performed in Rstudio (v 1.1.383).

# RESULTS

#### Morphological measurements

The ITS was significantly smaller in males (minimum = 1.57 mm, maximum = 3.80 mm, average =  $2.60 \pm 0.55$  mm) than females (minimum = 1.84 mm, maximum = 4.29 mm, average =  $3.19 \pm 0.64$  mm) (*p*-value = 0.0053, t = 2.96, df = 37.98). The median ocelli diameter, compound eye surface area, number and diameter of ommatidia were all positively correlated with the ITS (Figure 1 and Table 1). Inclusion of sex alone or together with the ITS in the model did not significantly improve the fit for each of the four variables tested (Table 1). Thus, no differences between males and females of similar ITS (size) were detected for the four morphological variables measured.

The median ocellus diameter was  $0.28 \pm 0.04$  mm (min = 0.22 mm, max = 0.36 mm) for males and 0.31 ± 0.05 mm (min = 0.23 mm, max = 0.40 mm) for females. The compound eye surface area was  $1.25 \pm 0.40$  mm<sup>2</sup> (min = 0.59 mm<sup>2</sup>, max = 2.28 mm<sup>2</sup>) for males and  $1.67 \pm 0.52$  mm<sup>2</sup> (min = 0.67 mm<sup>2</sup>, max = 2.66 mm<sup>2</sup>) for females. The number of ommatidia was estimated to be  $2607 \pm 466$  (min = 1820, max = 3987) for males and  $3188 \pm 560$  (min = 2126, max = 4207) for females. The estimated ommatidia diameter was  $2.28 \times 10^{-2} \pm 2.29 \times 10^{-3}$  mm (min =  $1.91 \times 10^{-2}$  mm, max =  $2.82 \times 10^{-2}$  mm) for males and  $2.29 \times 10^{-2} \pm 2.17 \times 10^{-3}$  mm (min =  $1.88 \times 10^{-2}$  mm, max =  $2.78 \times 10^{-2}$  mm) for females.

#### **Trapping experiment**

A total of 51 woodwasps were captured (28 males and 23 females) during the 7 weeks. No significant differences were observed between captures in traps of the 5 colours (Figure 2B) (H(4) = 2.62, p = 0.623). However, there was a position effect with trap

**Table 1.** Linear regression analyses of the four dependant variables tested (ocellus diameter, compound eye surface area, number of ommatidia and ommatidia diameter) from males (n = 21) and females (n = 21). Males and females were pooled to calculate the mean  $\pm$  SD. Asterisk indicate a p-value < 0.05 of the Student *t*-test

Dependent variable	Average (mean ± SD)	Independent variable	Estimate	Standard Error	<i>p</i> -value (Student <i>t</i> -test)	Significance
Ocellus diameter (mm)	0.294 ± 0.047	Intercept	0.103414	0.028536	0.00081	*
		ITS	0.063346	0.008646	$6.6 \times 10^{-9}$	*
		Sex	0.037834	0.037596	0.32032	
		$\rm ITS  imes sex$	-0.011583	0.012429	0.35696	
Compound eye surface area (mm²)	1.46 ± 0.5	Intercept	-0.84801	0.14838	$1.4 \times 10^{-6}$	*
		ITS	0.78793	0.04566	$<2 \times 10^{-16}$	*
		Sex	0.33820	0.20379	0.105	
		$\rm ITS  imes sex$	-0.10865	0.06972	0.127	
Number of ommatidia	2897.9 ± 588	Intercept	532.25	202.07	0.0121	*
		ITS	832.51	62.18	$5.78 \times 10^{-16}$	*
		Sex	34.66	277.52	0.9013	
		$\rm ITS  imes sex$	-46.49	94.94	0.6272	
Diameter of ommatidia (mm)	0.0234 ± 0.00228	Intercept	0.01463	0.001656	9.61 × 10 <sup>-11</sup>	*
		ITS	0.002921	0.0005097	$1.33 \times 10^{-6}$	*
		Sex	0.0008008	0.002275	0.727	
		$\rm ITS  imes sex$	-0.00007688	0.0007782	0.922	



**Figure 1.** Intertegular thorax span (ITS) plotted against the ocellus diameter (**A**), compound eye surface area (**B**), number of ommatidia (**C**) and the diameter of ommatidia (**D**) for 21 male (triangles) and 21 females (circles). All variables were positively correlated with the ITS but no difference between males and females were found (Table 1). Linear regression results (Multiple  $R^2$  and p-value of F-statistic) were combined for males and females and lines were added for each variable tested

position four (36 degrees, northeast) capturing significantly more woodwasps than the other locations (Figure 2C) (52%  $\pm$  12%, H(4) = 16.59, p = 0.023).

# DISCUSSION

This study added new information on *S. noctilio* eye morphology and colour preferences. The results show no sexual dimorphism in the visual system but a positive correlation between insect size and eye morphology was observed. No preference for any of the colours tested was observed; however, relatively few wasps emerged from the logs due to a low level of infestation. Traps placed at the north-east position of the cage captured more individuals than all other positions independent of trap colour. We conclude that immediately post-emergence positive phototaxis has a stronger impact on *S. noctilio* orientation behaviour than any potential colour preferences.

The compound eyes and ocelli of *S. noctilio* possess typical features of a diurnal visual system and their morphology are comparable to typical diurnal bees of similar size (Jander & Jander 2002; Kelber & Somanathan 2019). Our study showed



**Figure 2.** Relative reflectance (%) of panel traps painted with different colours used in the behavioural tests (**A**) and box-and-whisker plots of the proportion of total captures (n = 51) of *S. noctilio* caught per week in traps painted different colours (**B**) and placed at different positions (**C**). No effect of trap colour, but a significant effect of trap position was observed (for statistics see text)

that the ocelli diameter, the compound eye surface area, and ommatidia number and diameter were positively correlated with body size in S. noctilio. Ommatidia number and eye surface area can double between small and large S. noctilio. Similar research on other hymenopterans demonstrated that larger individuals have bigger eyes and possess better visual discrimination (e.g. image resolution, low-light sensitivity) than smaller individuals (Spaethe & Chittka 2003; Kapustjanskij et al. 2007; Kelber et al. 2011). In S. noctilio, body length within sex can vary from 9.3 to 34.9 mm for males and 10.0 to 44.0 mm for females (Ryan & Hurley 2012). In addition to putatively better visual acuity, larger females in S. noctilio were shown to perform longer and faster flights than smaller females (Bruzzone et al. 2009; Gaudon et al. 2016). The increased eye size and ommatidia number and flight capability of larger S. noctilio, likely increase their chances to find mates and suitable oviposition sites but empirical support does not currently exist. Such variation in eye morphology is not common in insects of the same sex or cast making S. noctilio an interesting model to study how eye size affects visual acuity in insects.

There are no differences between male and female S. noctilio eye morphology, opsin expression or spectral sensitivity (Guignard et al. 2021). Differences in eye morphology between sexes have been observed when the use of visual stimuli differs between males and females (Wehrhahn 1979; Meyer-Rochow & Reid 1994; Hornstein et al. 2000; Lau & Meyer-Rochow 2006; Straw et al. 2006; Meyer-Rochow & Lau 2008; Streinzer & Spaethe 2014; Brand et al. 2018). Similarly, differences in spectral sensitivity between males and females have been observed in species where one sex uses visual signals to attract the other sex (Arikawa et al. 2005; Sison-Mangus et al. 2006; Lau et al. 2007; Ogawa et al. 2013). Although previous studies have observed behavioural responses to visual stimuli (Martínez et al. 2014; Sarvary et al. 2015; Allison et al. 2019), our data suggest that colour vision may play a minor role in mate location and recognition in S. noctilio compared to achromatic cues or other non-visual stimuli.

The behavioural experiment found no evidence of any colour preference in newly emerged wasps. However, a significant position effect was observed in the circular trapping arrays with approximately five-times more individuals captured in traps placed on the north-east side of the array (e.g., 36°). Similar results were found when males and females were analysed separately, but were poorly supported due to the low number of insects, and thus further experiments are needed. A possible explanation for this pattern could be higher morning activity. Sirex noctilio is known to have strong positive phototaxis (Morgan & Stewart 1966; Sarvary et al. 2015; Hurley et al. 2015). These results suggest that the position of the sun in the morning might be attractive to newly emerged S. noctilio and could explain why more insects were captured on the north-eastern side of the cage. These results agree with earlier reports that S. noctilio concentrate on the eastern side of emergence cages, are most active in the morning, and that mating occurs in the morning (Dolezal 1967). However, other factors (e.g., prevailing wind direction) may explain the observed position effect.

In summary, *Sirex noctilio* possesses typical apposition eyes and no sexual dimorphism in eye morphology. In contrast to what has been observed in most Symphyta, no colour preferences were recorded. However, it is unclear why the northeastern position captured significantly more individuals, but this might be due to positive phototaxis to the morning sun. Thus, the morphology, physiology and behaviour of *S. noctilio* do not indicate a strong role of colour vision in the biology of *S. noctilio*. Further studies on the use of achromatic cues such as brightness contrast or light intensity should be conducted to better understand the visual ecology of *S. noctilio*.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Entomological Society of Southern Africa (ESSA) for the travel grant to QG to visit JS at the University of Wurzburg where the morphological measurements were done. We thank Amanda Adlam for her review of an early draft of the manuscript. This research was funded by the United States Department of Agriculture-Forest Service Forest Health Protection (USDA-FS FHP), Natural Resources of Canada (NRCan), the Tree Protection Cooperative Programme (TPCP) and the DSI NRF Centre of Excellence in Plant Health Biotechnology (CPHB) in South Africa. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

QG did the conceptualisation, method, data curation, formal analyses, visualisation, wrote the original draft and reviewed and edited the manuscript; JS did the conceptualisation, supervision of NN, methodology, reviewed and edited the manuscript; BS did conceptualisation, funding acquisition, supervision of QG, reviewed and edited the manuscript; NN did the data methodology and data curation of the eyes morphology, reviewed and edited the manuscript; JA did conceptualisation, funding acquisition, supervision of QG, reviewed and edited the manuscript.

# **ORCID IDs**

Quentin Guignard – https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9566-4365 Johannes Spaethe – https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0734-2869 Bernard Slippers – https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1491-3858 Jeremy D. Allison – https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0765-3149

# REFERENCES

- Allison JD, Slippers B, Bouwer M, Hurley BP. 2019. Simulated leks increase the capture of female *Sirex noctilio* in the absence of host volatiles. International Journal of Pest Management 67(1): 58–64. https://doi.org/10.1080/09670874.2019.1695074
- Anderbrant O, Löfqvist J, Jönsson J, Marling E. 1989. Effects of pheromone trap type, position and colour on the catch of the pine sawfly *Neodiprion sertifer* (Geoff.) (Hym., Diprionidae). Journal of Applied Entomology 107(1-5): 365-369. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.1989.tb00270.x
- Arikawa K, Wakakuwa M, Qiu X, Kurasawa M, Stavenga DG. 2005. Sexual dimorphism of short-wavelength photoreceptors in the small white butterfly, *Pieris rapae crucivora*. Journal of Neuroscience 25(25): 5935–5942. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1364-05.2005
- Barker AM, Sanbrooke KJ, Aebischer NJ. 1997. The water trap colour preferences of farmland sawflies. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 85(1): 83–86. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1570-7458.1997.00236.x
- Bordeaux JM, Dean JFD. 2012. Susceptibility and response of pines to *Sirex noctilio*. In Slippers B, de Groot P, Wingfield MJ (eds). The Sirex Woodwasp and its Fungal Symbiont: Research and Management of a Worldwide Invasive Pest. Dordrecht: Springer. pp. 31–50
- Brand P, Larcher V, Couto A, Sandoz J-C, Ramírez SR. 2018. Sexual dimorphism in visual and olfactory brain centers in the perfumecollecting orchid bee *Euglossa dilemma* (Hymenoptera, Apidae). Journal of Comparative Neurology 526(13): 2068–2077. https://doi. org/10.1002/cne.24483
- Briscoe AD, Chittka L. 2001. The evolution of color vision in insects. Annual Review of Entomology 46(1): 471–510. https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev.ento.46.1.471
- Bruzzone OA, Villacide JM, Bernstein C, Corley JC. 2009. Flight variability in the woodwasp *Sirex noctilio* (Hymenoptera: Siricidae): an analysis of flight data using wavelets. Journal of Experimental Biology 212(5): 731–737. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.022517
- Cane JH. 1987. Estimation of bee size using intertegular span (Apoidea). Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 60: 145–147.
- Chittka L, Kevan PG. 2005 . Flower colour as advertisement. In: Dafni A, Kevan PG, Husband, B.C. Practical Pollination Biology (eds). Cambridge, ONT: Enviroquest Ltd. pp. 157–230.
- Cooperband MF, Böröczky K, Hartness A, Jones TH, Zylstra KE, Tumlinson JH, Mastro VC. 2012. Male-produced pheromone in the european woodwasp, Sirex noctilio. Journal of Chemical Ecology 38(1): 52–62. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-012-0060-7

- Coutts M. 1969. The mechanism of pathogenicity of Sirex noctilio on Pinus radiata I. Effects of the symbiotic fungus Amylostereum sp. (Thelophoraceae). Australian Journal of Biological Science 22(4): 915–924. https://doi.org/10.1071/BI9690915
- Dodds KJ, Cooke RR, Hanavan RP. 2014. The effects of silvicultural treatment on *Sirex noctilio* attacks and tree health in northeastern United States. Forests 5(11):2810–2824. https://doi.org/10.3390/f5112810.
- Dodds KJ, De Groot P. 2012. Sirex, surveys and management: challenges of having *Sirex noctilio* in north america. In Slippers B, de Groot P, Wingfield MJ. (eds). The Sirex Woodwasp and its Fungal Symbiont: Research and Management of a Worldwide Invasive Pest. Dordrecht: Springer. pp. 265–286.
- Dolezal JE. 1967, Some observation on behavior of *Sirex noctilio* when used for artificial attack on *Pinus radiata*. Australian Forestry Research 2: 26–30.
- Feuda R, Marlétaz F, Bentley MA, Holland PWH. 2016. Conservation, duplication, and divergence of five opsin genes in insect evolution. Genome Biology and Evolution 8(3): 579–587. https://doi. org/10.1093/gbe/evw015
- Forrest H. 1962. Instructive micro-replicas from nail polish. The American Biology Teacher 24(7): 523–525. https://doi. org/10.2307/4440080
- Gaudon JM, Haavik LJ, Macquarrie CJK, Smith SM, Allison JD. 2016. Influence of nematode parasitism, body size, temperature, and diel period on the flight capacity of *Sirex noctilio* F. (Hymenoptera: siricidae). Journal of Insect Behaviour 29(3): 301–314. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10905-016-9563-3
- Gilbert JM, Miller LW. 1952. An outbreak of *Sirex noctilio* F. in Tasmania. Australian Forestry 16(2): 63–69. https://doi.org/10.1080 /00049158.1952.10675291
- Guignard Q, Allison JD, Slippers B. 2022b. The evolution of insect visual opsin genes with specific consideration of the influence of ocelli and life history traits. BMC Ecology and Evolution 22(1): 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-022-01960-8
- Guignard Q, Bouwer M, Slippers B, Allison J. 2020. Biology of a putative male aggregation-sex pheromone in *Sirex noctilio* (Hymenoptera: siricidae). PLoS One 15(12): e0244943. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0244943
- Guignard Q, Slippers B, Allison J. 2022a. Chemical and visual ecology of the Symphyta. Agricultural and Forest Entomology 24(4): 453– 465. https://doi.org/10.1111/afe.12510
- Guignard Q, Spaethe J, Slippers B, Strube-Bloss M, Allison JD. 2021. Evidence for UV-green dichromacy in the basal hymenopteran *Sirex noctilio* (Siricidae). Scientific Reports 11(1): 15601. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-021-95107-2
- Hoebeke ER, Haugen DA, Haack RA. 2005. *Sirex noctilio*: discovery of a palearctic Siricid woodwasp in New York. Newsletter of the Michigan Entomological Society 50(1&2): 24–25.
- Holuša J, Drápela K. 2006. Yellow sticky boards: a possible way of monitoring little spruce sawfly (*Pristiphora abietina*) (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). Journal of Forestry Science 52(1): 13–21. https:// doi.org/10.17221/4482-JFS
- Hornstein EP, O'Carroll DC, Anderson JC, Laughlin SB. 2000. Sexual dimorphism matches photoreceptor performance to behavioural requirements. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 267(1457): 2111–2117. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rspb.2000.1257.
- Hurley BP, Garnas J, Cooperband MF. 2015. Assessing trap and lure effectiveness for the monitoring of *Sirex noctilio*. Agricultural and Forest Entomology 17(1): 64–70. https://doi.org/10.1111/afe.12081
- Hurley BP, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ. 2007. A comparison of control results for the alien invasive woodwasp, *Sirex noctilio*, in the southern hemisphere. Agricultural and Forest Entomology 9(3): 159–171. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-9563.2007.00340.x
- Jander U, Jander R. 2002. Allometry and resolution of bee eyes (Apoidea). Arthropod Structure & Development 30(3): 179-193. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1467-8039(01)00035-4
- Kapustjanskij A, Streinzer M, Paulus HF, Spaethe J. 2007. Bigger is better: implications of body size for flight ability under different light conditions and the evolution of alloethism in bumblebees. Functional Ecology 21(6): 1130–1136. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01329.x

- Kelber A, Jonsson F, Wallén R, Warrant E, Kornfeldt T, Baird E. 2011. Hornets can fly at night without obvious adaptations of eyes and ocelli. PLoS One.;6(7): e21892. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0021892
- Kelber A, Somanathan H. 2019. Spatial vision and visually guided behavior in Apidae. Insects. 10(12): 418. https://doi.org/10.3390/ insects10120418
- Van der Kooi CJ, Stavenga DG, Arikawa K, Belušič G, Kelber A. 2021. Evolution of insect color vision: from spectral sensitivity to visual ecology. Annual Review of Entomology 66(1): 435–461. https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev-ento-061720-071644
- Lau TF, Meyer-Rochow VB. 2006. Sexual dimorphism in the compound eye of *Rhagophthalmus ohbai* (Coleoptera: Rhagophthalmidae): I. Morphology and ultrastructure. Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology 9(1): 19–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1226-8615(08)60271-X
- Lau TFS, Ohba N, Arikawa K, Meyer-Rochow VB. 2007. Sexual dimorphism in the compound eye of *Rhagophthalmus ohbai* (Coleoptera: Rhagophthalmidae): II. Physiology and function of the eye of the male. Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology 10(1): 27–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1226-8615(08)60327-1
- Li D, Shi J, Lu M, Ren L, Zhen C, Luo Y. 2015. Detection and identification of the invasive Sirex noctilio (Hymenoptera: Siricidae) fungal symbiont, Amylostereum areolatum (Russulales: Amylostereacea), in China and the stimulating effect of insect venom on laccase production by A. areolatum YQL03. Journal of Economic Entomology 108(3): 1136–1147. https://doi.org/10.1093/ jee/tov072
- Martínez AS, Villacide J, Fernández Ajó AA, Martinson SJ, Corley JC. 2014. Sirex noctilio flight behavior: toward improving current monitoring techniques. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 152: 135–140. https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.12205
- Meyer-Rochow VB, Lau TF. 2008. Sexual dimorphism in the compound eye of the moth *Operophtera brumata* (Lepidoptera, Geometridae). Invertebrate Biology 127(2): 201–216. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7410.2008.00131.x
- Meyer-Rochow VB, Reid AW. 1994. Male and female eyes of the Antarctic midge *Belgica antarctica* (Diptera: Chironomidae): a scanning electron microscope study. Applied Entomology and Zoology 29(3): 439–442. https://doi.org/10.1303/aez.29.439
- Miller D, Clark AF. *Sirex noctilio* (Hym.) and its parasite in New Zealand. Bulletin of Entomological Research 1935;26(2):149–154. https://doi.org/10.1017/S000748530003813X
- Morgan FD, Stewart NC. 1966. The biology and behaviour of the woodwasp *Sirex noctilio* F. in New Zealand. Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand 7: 195–204.
- Neumann FG, Minko G. 1981. The sirex wood wasp in Australian radiata pine plantations. Australian Forestry 44(1): 46–63. https:// doi.org/10.1080/00049158.1981.10674289
- Ogawa Y, Kinoshita M, Stavenga DG, Arikawa K. 2013. Sex-specific retinal pigmentation results in sexually dimorphic long-wavelengthsensitive photoreceptors in the eastern pale clouded yellow butterfly, *Colias erate*. Journal of Experimental Biology 216(10): 1916–1923. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.083485
- Peitsch D, Fietz A, Hertel H, De Souza J, Ventura DF, Menzel R. 1992. The spectral input systems of hymenopteran insects and their receptor-based colour vision. Journal of Comparative Physiology 170(1): 23–40. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00190398
- Rebuffo S. 1990. La Avispa de la Madera: *Sirex noctilio* F. en el Uruguay. República oriental del Uruguay, Ministerio de Ganadería, Agricultura y Pesca, Dirección General de Recursos Naturales Renovables, Montevideo.

- Ryan K, Hurley BP. 2012. Life history and biology of *Sirex noctilio*. In: Slippers B, de Groot P, Wingfield MJ. (eds). The Sirex Woodwasp and its Fungal Symbiont: Research and Management of a Worldwide Invasive Pest. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht. pp. 15–30.
- Sarvary MA, Cooperband MF, Hajek AE. 2015. The importance of olfactory and visual cues in developing better monitoring tools for *Sirex noctilio* (Hymenoptera: siricidae). Agriculture and Forest Entomology 17(1): 29–35. https://doi.org/10.1111/afe.12077
- Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, et al. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nature Methods 9(7): 676–682. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019
- Sison-Mangus MP, Bernard GD, Lampel J, Briscoe AD. 2006 Beauty in the eye of the beholder: the two blue opsins of lycaenid butterflies and the opsin gene-driven evolution of sexually dimorphic eyes. Journal of Experimental Biology 209(16): 3079–3090. https://doi. org/10.1242/jeb.02360
- Slippers B, Hurley BP, Mlonyeni XO, De Groot P, Wingfield MJ. 2012. Factors affecting the efficacy of *Deladenus siricidicola* in biological control systems. In: Slippers B, de Groot P, Wingfield MJ. (eds.). The Sirex Woodwasp and its Fungal Symbiont: Research and Management of a Worldwide Invasive Pest. Dordrecht: Springer. pp. 119–133.
- Song JH, Yang YT, Yang CJ, Choi BR, Jwa CS. 2015. Responses of *Phyllotreta striolata* and *Athalia rosae* ruficornis to colored-sticky traps and aggregation pheromone and seasonal fluctuations in radish fields on Jeju island. Korean Journal of Applied Entomology 54: 289–294. https://doi.org/10.5656/KSAE.2015.08.0.039
- Spaethe J, Chittka L. 2003. Interindividual variation of eye optics and single object resolution in bumblebees. Journal of Experimental Biology 206(19): 3447–3453. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.00570
- Straw AD, Warrant EJ, O'Carroll DC. 2006A 'bright zone' in male hoverfly (*Eristalis tenax*) eyes and associated faster motion detection and increased contrast sensitivity. Journal of Experimental Biology. 209(21): 4339–4354. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.02517
- Streinzer M, Spaethe J. 2014. Functional morphology of the visual system and mating strategies in bumblebees (Hymenoptera, Apidae, Bombus). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 170(4): 735–747. https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12117
- Talbot PHB. 1977. The Sirex–Amylostereum–Pinus association. Annual Review of Phytopathology 15(1): 41–54. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.py.15.090177.000353
- Taniwaki T. 2013. Effect of color on the efficiency of flight interception traps for sampling adults of the beech sawfly, *Fagineura crenativora*. Japanese Journal of Entomology 16: 159–165.
- Tribe GD. 1995. The woodwasp *Sirex noctilio* Fabricius (Hymenoptera: Siricidae), a pest of *Pinus* species, now established in South Africa. African Entomology 3: 215–217.
- Vétek G, Papp V, Fail J, Ladányi M, Blank SM. 2016. Applicability of coloured traps for the monitoring of the invasive Zigzag Elm Sawfly, *Aproceros leucopoda* (Hymenoptera: argidae). Acta Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae 62(2): 165–173. https://doi. org/10.17109/AZH.62.2.165.2016
- Wehrhahn C. 1979. Sex-specific differences in the chasing behaviour of houseflies (Musca). Biological Cybernetics 32(4): 239–241. https:// doi.org/10.1007/BF00337647